## Performance Management Plan for Non-Managers and Non-Supervisors



for your little english on tones, and		A C.				FATES OF A			
1. Employee Name (last, first, m	iddle)	A. GE	eneral Information						
	,		2. Orga	nization P/DEEOIC					
3. Title, Series, Grade		4 Ann	oraisal Cycle (mm/do	/DEEOIC	T	W			
CLAIMS EXAMINER, GS-0991-			0/01/2021 to		5. Appraisa	al Period (mm/dd/yyyy)			
6. Supervisory Status Code 8 –	All Other Position	ons	0/01/2021 10	09/30/2022		to			
cated to proper could be a first the		10010/AGA (AGA 100000000000000000000000000000000000	onto 1 Or 1 1	_					
1. I certify that this performance p	Link at I	B. Performance Elem least one critical results	element to the Arra	Certification					
CONTAINS accurate performance	X Hold the	e employee accountable	o for manager	icy's operating pla	n.				
elements and standards that:		e employee accountable	dosorintian and and	/or observable res	sults.	A			
2. Rating Official		and employees position	description and refle	ct the duties and	responsibilitie	s assigned to the employee.			
Signature			Date (mm/di						
3. Rating Official Name (last, first	middle)		4 Rating Offici	al Titlo					
1			Rating Official Title     Supervisory Claims Examiner						
<ol><li>Prototype elements and/or star</li></ol>	ndards X Yes	□ No	ouper visory	Statilis Examine	i				
		C. Position D	escription Certifica	tion					
<ol> <li>Position description is correct?</li> </ol>	Yes □ No					The state of the s			
			Signature	Ciai		Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			
				cial Name (last, fir	rot middle)	<u> </u>			
			or realing on	ciai ivairie (iasi, iii	st, midale)				
			4. Rating Offi	cial Title					
				Claims Examir	10r				
	D	. Establishment of the	Performance Mone	Claims Examin	ICI	7			
1a. I discussed this plan with emplo	yee. 1b. Employ	yee written comments a	are 2. Employee	igement Plan					
☐ Yes ☐ No	attached.	Yes No	Signature		8 8	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			
3. Rating Official		Date (mm/dd/y)	(yy) 6. Reviewing	A STATE OF THE STA		Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			
Signature				Official Signature					
4. Rating Official Name (last, first,	middle)		7. Reviewing	Official Name (last	f first middle				
Doting Off : 177				, ac	, mot, maare)				
5. Rating Official Title Supervisory Claims Examiner			8. Reviewing	Official Title					
Supervisory Claims Examiner									
. The mid-term progress review		E. Mid-Term Prog	ress Review Certifi	cation					
. The mid-term progress review has been conducted.	Yes No	(if No, explain below.	) 3. Rating Office	ial		Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			
			Signature			(			
		e 11 "	4. Rating Offic	ial Name (last, firs	t, middle)				
. Employee		To a distribution							
Signature		Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	5. Rating Office			1			
			Supervisory	Claims Examine	er				
Indicate performance appraisal a	nd rating below	F. Performance	Appraisal and Ra	ating					
		y Rating Points (475-50	101		2a. I d	discussed this appraisal with the			
Exceeds Fully Successful Fal	s within Summan	y Rating Points (475-50 y Rating Points (400-47	)			employee.			
7	s within Summan	V Rating Points (400-47	4) Yes			Yes No			
Minimally Successful Min	Training Foliats (500-399)				2b. Employee provided tim				
Unacceptable Una	acceptable on one	or more elements	S CC			omments.			
Purpose of Appraisal:   Ir	7. Employee Signature			☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA  Date (mm/dd/yyyy)					
Rating Official Signature Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			8. Reviewing Official Signature Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			
Rating Official Name (last, first, m	ddle)	1 4	O Pari : GE			36			
	on a mark = 1		Reviewing Office	cial Name (last, fir	rst, middle)	V			
2 11 2 2 2 1									
Rating Official Title pervisory Claims Examiner			10. Reviewing Offi						

## G. Performance Summary

The rating official must appraise the employee's performance relative to the critical results elements performed. In assigning a rating to each critical results elements, please rate the employee at one of the following five levels and include a written narrative as appropriate:

Elements Rating Level	Rating Points	following five levels and include a written narrative as appropriate:								
0.4.1	3.5	Description Description								
Outstanding	5	rnis is a level of rare, high-quality perfor exceeds the "Exceeds Fully Successful"	This is a level of rare, high-quality performance. The employee's work under this element <u>substantially</u> <u>exceeds</u> the "Exceeds Fully Successful" standard. ( <i>Narrative Required</i> )							
Exceeds Fully Successful	4	This is a level of unusually good performance. The employee's work under this element is consistently above average. (Narrative Required)								
Fully Successful	3	This is a level of good, sound performance. The employee's work under this element is of a <u>fully compe</u> employee. (Narrative Not Required)								
Minimally Successful	2	This is a level of performance below expectations. The employee's work under this element <a href="mailto:needs">needs</a> improvement in one or more elements. (Narrative Required)							ent <u>needs</u>	
Unacceptable	1	The level of performance is not adequate	a for the position (A	-		_	_			
Not Rated (NR)	NR	The level of performance is <u>not adequate</u> for the position. (Narrative Required)  The level of performance is <u>not rated</u> .								
	Critical Elen		Critical Element	T	Rati	ing F	oin	ts	П	Total Class of
Result #1 TIMELINESS OF DE	Weight %	5	4 3 2 1			1	NR	Total Element Points		
Result #2 QUALITY DEVELOR	25	T	$\Box$	t	tr	I		· omto		
Result #3 DATA INTEGRITY	25	愩	П	Ħ	Ī	H	1			
Result #4 CASE MANAGEMEN	25	厅	ī	tr	Ħ	T	H			
Result #5 AUDITS	15	同	F	Ħ	Ħ	計	ᅡ┼┼			
esult #6			10	同	Ħ	i	Ħ	旨	ᅡ┼	
esult #7						同	П	Ħ	금	
esult #8									計	
		Element Pts ->	100							
		Liement Pts ->	100	Sı	Jmm	nary	Rat	ing F	Points	0

## H. Consideration Given to Other Ratings in Rating of Record

I. Other Significant Accomplishments

<sup>1.</sup> Any written performance information (including interim ratings and performance information on details or temporary reassignments/promotions) since the last annual rating of record will be taken into account in this rating of record. If these interim performance ratings or information impact the overall rating of record,

1 0			
J. Organ	iizationa	Performa	ance Elements

Critical results-specific elements must include, but are not limited to, as many results elements as are necessary to reflect the employee's specific responsibilities in the implementation of their agency's operating plan / strategic goals. All elements and standards should describe the major results to be achieved during the period of performance covered by the performance plan. Each performance standard must be written at the **Fully Successful** level and include measures of performance such as quality, quantity, timeliness, and cost-effectiveness. Standards should be concise and not include detailed milestones or descriptions of the process and methods used to achieve the results.

Result #1 Title TIMELINESS OF DEVELOPMENT AND ADJUDICATION	
Outstanding D Exceeds Fully Commercial	Weight Value 25%
	uccessful  Unacceptable  Not Rate
The Claims Examiner (CE) develops and adjudicates assigned Part B and Part E cases in support of the FY2022	OWCP Operating Plan.
Performance Standard	
With consideration of the	
With consideration of the volume and complexity of the cases, performance is Fully Successful when the CE:	
1. Responds to telephone inquiries within and (4)	
2. Responds to telephone inquiries within one (1) workday, 93% - 94% of the time 3. Completes initial processing of Part R and Roy workdays, 95% - 96% of the time.	
3. Completes initial processing of Part B and Part E claims, including cases returned from NIOSH, within 145 caler 4. Completes initial processing of Part B and Part E. I. in the claims of the time.	ndar days from the claim receive date. 90%
95% of the time	ndar days from the alain
	days from the claim receive date, 94%
calendar days of the date of the remand order or Director's Order, 90% - 92% of the time.	s reopened by a Director's Order within 125
date, 85% - 87% of the time (Med after FD) within	in 145 calandar days from 11
date, 90% - 92% of the fine	in 200 calendar days from the claim reasist
When available, answers 25% of incoming Transfer calls from Resource Center personnel.	dayo nom the daim receipt
Narrative	
Result #2 Title QUALITY DEVELOPMENT AND AD HUDICATION	
Result #2	Weight Value 25%
Exceeds Fully Successful Fully Successful Minimally Successful	ssful   Unaccentable   Net Detail
he CE completes quality development and adjudication of all assigned Part B and Part E cases. The CE implement provide prompt and accurate claims adjudication.	oto on -#5.
rovide prompt and accurate claims adjudication.	its an emicient case management strategy
erformance Standard	
erformance is Fully Successful when the CE achieves 90% - 92%.	
d the CE successfully complete the below tasks?	
Correspondence and Recommended Decisions (RDs) are clearly written and free of grammatical errors (i.e., typog Correspondence and RDs are free of substantive errors (i.e., correct medical correct employment persent deli-	groubies!
Correspondence and RDs are free of substantive errors (i.e., correct medical, correct employment, correct dates, e Reported toxic substance exposures are sufficiently described in the SOAE (correct employment, correct dates, e	grapnical, spelling, etc.). etc.).
oclusions regarding the extent or duration of expenses	tionalized medical oninion), including and
TOXIC SUBSIGILES ALE ACCULATELY Identified and an account	
The NRSD/ANSRD is correct.	<u>v.</u>
Offset is developed correctly	
Survivorship is developed correctly. The claim is properly developed for RECA.	
Treating physician's opinion is utilized and clarification assessed to the control of the contro	
Treating physician's opinion is utilized and clarification requested of the treating physician's opinion, CMC report, of All accorded conditions.	or DEEOIC specialist's opinion if the
All decepted conditions are included in the referent facility.	
ues when appropriate	aken to resolve outstanding impairment
The Notice of Recommended Decision portion of the RD and the conclusion of the Recommended Decision accurately.  The Statement of the Const (COC)	
The Statement of the Case (SOC) accurately a second solution of the Recommended Decision accurately as the statement of the Case (SOC) accurately a second solution of the Recommended Decision accurately as the statement of the Case (SOC) accurately as the statement of the statem	ately includes a proper distinction between
The Statement of the Case (SOC) accurately describes the relevant background evidence in accordance with curre	ent policy and procedure.

policy and procedure.  16. The Explanation of Findings (EOF) contains sufficient written narrative to clearly explain the CE's analysis of the case evidence in a policy and procedure.  16. The Conclusion of Law (COL) section communicates a clear finding of recommended acceptance or denial derived from the analyst accordance with current policy and procedure.  17. Medical health science assessments (i.e., CMC/IH/TOX analyses) are included with recommended denials.	is narrated in the EOF
17. Medical health science assessments (i.e., CMC/IH/TOX analyses) are included with recommended derived from the analyses.	is narrated in the EOF
discontinuo di discontinuo di	
with recommended denials.	
Narrative	
Result #3 Title DATA INTEGRITY	
TACCOUST UNIV OUCLESSIII     Fully Choocceful   Aut.	ht Value 25%
	table  Not Rated
The CE ensures data is correctly and timely entered into electronic information management systems to safeguard claim and fiscal integration	rity
	my.
Porformer as Ol	
Performance Standard	
Performance is Fully Successful when the CE achieves 90% - 92%.	
Did the CE successfully complete the below tasks?	
I. Index incoming documents with the appropriate category and subject combination in OIS.	
B. Enter the Author Date of the outgoing document is 500	
· Correctly associate documents in O.S. with the	
Enter declarations for benefits (i.e., words of claim, EE-1, EE-2, EE-3, EE-10, EN-11a, and EN-11b) in ECS or refer if needed to the call arms day review completed in OIS.  Accurately enter RD coding in ECS consists of all the call arms and EN-11b.	
	se create PoC on the
Accurately enter RD coding in ECS consistent with the Conclusions of Law, including all accepted, denied and deferred conditions.  Ensure employee and claimant information, including census information, on the claimant information screen in ECS is accurate.  Update the medical development tab in ECS to include the appropriate medical condition.	
. Update the medical development tab in ECS to include the appropriate medical condition ICD code discovering the second structure.	
. Update the medical development tab in ECS to include the appropriate medical condition, ICD code, diagnosis date, eligibility dates, or Update the employment development tab in ECS to include the appropriate medical condition, ICD code, diagnosis date, eligibility dates, or Update the employment development	CMC/Non-CMC
. Update the employment development tab in ECS to include the facility identification, dates of employment, and employment classification.  1. Codo all equations of the control of the co	an.
1. Code all Causation paths in ECS (including the August 1)	JII.
3. Enter the appropriate coding in the Correspondence tab to including claim dates. 4. Initiate changes/corrections of addresses, phone numbers, and outbering the date the requested evidence was received.	
4. Initiate changes/corrections of addresses, phone numbers, and authorized representatives/POAs in ECS.  5. Correctly identify the call type in ECS (i.e., Direct, Transfer, Potting at 1).	
5. Include a descriptive summary in the ECC talket, Transfer, Return, etc.).	
<ol> <li>Include a descriptive summary in the ECS telephone call record to include: caller's name, relationship to the claimant, information required in the response, and complete ECS coding in accordance with existing program policies and guidelines.</li> </ol>	ested, information
	,emadon
arrative	\
sult #4 Title CASE MANAGEMENT	
Sulf #4	/alue 15%
sult #4	
e CE performs duties necessary for the accurate and timely development, adjudication, and payment of claims.	□ Not Rated
and timely development, adjudication, and payment of claims.	
	,
formance Standard	
iornance Standard	
formance is Fully Successful when the CE achieves 90% - 92%.	
	*
e CE successfully completed the below tasks when:	
imely and properly forwards requests for recession.	
imely and properly forwards requests for reopening and/or non-specific correspondence according to current policy.  Sues documents to the correct individual to protect claiment's PU and recorded Operating Procedures.	
ssues documents to the correct individual to protect claiment's PII and prevent disclosure of information.	
process or many prevent disclosure of information.	

4. Accurately enters RD coding resulting in no more than one re-build of the decision in ECS per month.
D. Completes initial development action for initial processing. Mad at 150 cm.
sixteen (16) days from case create date, claim receipt date, date of frem FID, impairment, wage loss, remands, and Director's Orders within fourteen (14) to 7. Takes follow-up development or adjudicatory action within thirt. Fire (25), or date of issuance of a Director's Order.
7. Takes follow-up development or adjudicatory action within thirty-five (35) to forty (40) days from the last development action where appropriate.  8. Properly develops the claim for wage-loss when the employee's death was prior to the possible of the property development action where appropriate.
8. Properly develops the claim for wage-loss when the employee's death was prior to the normal SSA retirement age, including obtaining contemporaneous medical evidence when the initial evidence did not support that wage-loss was causally related to the account of the contemporaries.
medical evidence when the initial evidence did not support that wage-loss was rounded as a retirement age, including obtaining contemporaneous. Creates and refers payments to the certifier within 3 work down of patients.
9. Creates and refers payments to the certifier within 3 work days of notification that the payment was entered into ECS.  10. Reviews incoming documents in OIS and changes to Review Complete within three (3) work days.
work days.
Narrative
Result #5 Title AUDITS  Weight Value 10
Outstanding   Exceeds Fully Successful   Fully Successful   Attained   Outstanding   Exceeds Fully Successful   Fully Successful   Attained   Outstanding   Exceeds Fully Successful   Fully Successful   Outstanding   Outstandin
The CE completes accurate RDs reviewed prior to issuance under Government Accountability Office audits. The CE completes accurate and quality work products as assessed by the Quality Assurance Team.
products as assessed by the Quality Assurance Team.
Performance Standard
Performance is Fully Successful when the CE achieves 90% - 92%.
Did the CE successfully complete the below tasks?
GAO Audits:
1. Consistently enter the Employee or Claimant's name in the Recommended Decision.
2. Enter the confect address on the Recommended Decision cover shoot as a series of the confect address on the Recommended Decision cover shoot as a series of the confect address on t
T. Considerity effect the Employee's Int the Recommended Decision
5. Consistently reference the SEM search information in the Recommended Decision
o. Consistently enter the Employee's employment location and dates in the December 1.15
Our conf. critici file illulicidi Advalli and calcillations in the December 1-1 D
o. The conclusion of law matches the decision itself
9. Include the proper documentation with the Recommended Decision.
Quality Assurance Audits:
10. Completes an accurate and quality work product as assessed during the QA audits completed by the Performance Management Branch.
Narrative
Result #6 Title
Result #6 Outstanding Exceeds Fully Successful Fully Successful Minimally Successful Ungesentable Day 18
Cesuit #6 Unacceptable Exceeds Fully Successful Fully Successful Minimally Successful Unacceptable Not Rate
Performance Standard
larrative

Form DL 1 - 384 Revised September 2021 Previous editions are not usable

4							V	
L								
				7				
Result #7 Title							Weight Val	IIA .
Result #7	Outstanding	☐ Exceeds Fully	y Successful	Fully Successful	☐ Minimally	Successful [7]	Unaccentable	Not Detail
				_ ,		Oddcc33idi [	Oriacceptable	☐ Not Rated
100								
Performance Standard	- T							
11								
	20 4							
Narrative							F	
Result #8 Title			V		2			
Result #8	Outstanding	Exceeds Fully	Cuppoeful	□ Fully Oussessful	<b>п</b> "		Weight Valu	ie
			Succesiui	Fully Successful	Minimally 8	Successful 🔄	Unacceptable	□ Not Rated
Performance Standard								
r chomianice standard							2	
Narrative								41
ivarrative					41			