Performance Management Plan for Non-Managers and Non-Supervisors



		A. Gene	eral Info	rmation			4-5				
1. Employee Name (last, first, middl	e)			2. Organization							
1. Employee Name (Mass, mess, mess	-7.			OWCP/DEEOIC/FAB							
3. Title, Series, Grade	3 - Laboratoria - 1990	4. Appra	aisal Cyc	le (mm/dd/yyyy)	5. Appraisa	i. Appraisal Period (mm/dd/yyyy) to 09/30				22	
Hearing Representative, GS-09	91-13	10	10/01/2021 to 09/30/2022				to	09/3	0/20		
. Supervisory Status Code 8 – Al	Other Positions										
. Supervisory Status Code & 711	В.	. Performance Eleme	ents and	Standards Certification							
	Link at lea	ast one critical results	element t	o the Agency's operating pla	n.						
 I certify that this performance plan contains accurate performance 	N Hald the c	employee accountable	for meas	surable and/or observable res	sults.		a d t c	the empl	2000		
elements and standards that:	description	on and reflect the duties and	responsibilitie	s assi	Date	mm/dd/yy	vv)				
Rating Official Signature				Anna and an anna			Duto				
3. Rating Official Name (last, first, r	middle)		4. F	Rating Official Title							
5. Prototype elements and/or stand	ards X Yes	No									
5. Prototype elements and/or stand	aree 23	C. Position D	Description	on Certification			Т	Deta (mn	1446	0000	
Position description is correct?	∑ Yes ☐ No	(if No, explain below	w.) 2	2. Rating Official Signature				Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		<i>yyy)</i>	
			3	3. Rating Official Name (last,	first, middle)						
	*		4	4. Rating Official Title							
		Catabliahmant of th	na Parfor	mance Management Plan				,			
ACCEPTATION OF STREET,		yee written comments		2. Employee				Date (mr	n/dd/j	уууу)	
1a. I discussed this plan with emplo	attached.	Yes ☐ No	laic	Signature							
3. Rating Official Date (mm/dd/yyyy)				6. Reviewing Official Signature				Date (mi	n/dd/ <u>j</u>	<i>YYYY)</i> 	
Signature	middle)			7. Reviewing Official Name (last, first, mide	dle)					
4. Rating Official Name (last, first,	midale)			O'Hare, Gerard							
5 Detice Official Title				8. Reviewing Official Title							
5. Rating Official Title				Branch Chief							
		E. Mid-Term Pr	rogress F	Review Certification				1			
The mid-term progress review has been conducted.	Yes N			Rating Official Signature				Date (m	m/aa/	<i>'</i> YYYY)	
	1.			4. Rating Official Name (last	, first, middle)						
2. Employee		Date (mm/dd/yyy	(y)	5. Rating Official Title				1			
Signature		E Boeforman	nce Ann	oraisal and Rating							
Indicate performance appraisal	and rating below		постър		2			d this appr	aisal	with th	
Outstanding F	alls within Summ	nary Rating Points (47	(5-500)				ployee				
Exceeds Fully Successful F	alls within Summ	nary Rating Points (40	0-474)					es 🗌 No		(
☐ Fully Successful F	alls within Sumn	nary Rating Points (30	0-399)		2			provided tir	nely v	vntten	
Minimally Successful	Minimally Succes	sful on one or more el	lements	is continu				ments. Yes □ No □ NA			
		one or more elements	3				A DOLLAR OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	☐ No (mm/dd/yy	_	*/-(
3. Purpose of Appraisal:	Interim Rating	Rating of Reco	ord 7	. Employee Signature						al b	
4. Rating Official Signature		Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		. Reviewing Official Signature			Date	(mm/dd/yy	'yy) 		
5. Rating Official Name (last, first	, middle)			. Reviewing Official Name (D'Hare, Gerard	last, first, mid	dle)					
5. Nating Official Name (1881, 1881			100	Reviewing Official Title							

G. Performance Summary

The rating official must appraise the employee's performance relative to the critical results elements performed. In assigning a rating to each critical results elements, please rate the employee at one of the following five levels and include a written narrative as appropriate:

Elements Rating Level	Rating Points		Description								
Outstanding	5	This is a level of rare, high-quality performance. The employee's work under this element substate exceeds the "Exceeds Fully Successful" standard. (Narrative Required)									
Exceeds Fully Successful	4	This is a level of unusually good performance. The employee's work under this element is cons above average . (Narrative Required)									
Fully Successful	3	employee. (Narrative Not Requ	ee. The employee's work under this element is of a fully compete								
Minimally Successful	2	This is a level of performance below expectations. The employee's work under this element needs improvement in one or more elements. (Narrative Required)									
Unacceptable	The level of performance is not	adequate	for the position. (N	arrat	ive i	Req	uire	ed)			
Not Rated (NR) NR The level of performance is no											
Critical Element				Critical Element Weight %	5	Ratir 4	g P	oint:	s 1	NR	Total Element Points
Result #1 QUALITY OF DEC	ISIONS AND WRIT	TEN PRODUCTS		20							
Result #2 ISSUE TIMELY FII				20							
Result #3 DATA INTEGRITY		A STATE OF THE STA		20							
Result #4 CUSTOMER SERVICE				20							A second
Result #5 HEARINGS				20							
Result #6										14	
Result #7								닏	Щ	님	
Result #8											
		Elem	ent Pts ->	100	S	umn	nary	Rat	ting	Points	0

H. Consideration Given to Other Ratings in Rating of Record

I. Other Significant Accomplishments

^{1.} Any written performance information (including interim ratings and performance information on details or temporary reassignments/promotions) since the last annual rating of record will be taken into account in this rating of record. If these interim performance ratings or information impact the overall rating of record, explain fully in the space below.

J. Organizational Performance Elements

Critical results-specific elements must include, but are not limited to, as many results elements as are necessary to reflect the employee's specific responsibilities in the implementation of their agency's operating plan / strategic goals. All elements and standards should describe the major results to be achieved during the period of performance covered by the performance plan. Each performance standard must be written at the Fully Successful level and include measures of performance such as quality, quantity, timeliness, and cost-effectiveness. Standards should be concise and not include detailed milestones or descriptions of the process and methods used to achieve the results.

Result #1 Title	QUALITY OF D	ECISIONS AND W	RITTEN PRODUC'	TS		Weight Value 20%
Result #1	☐ Outst	anding Excee	eds Fully Successful	Fully Successful	Minimally Successful	Unacceptable Not Rated
			gh and accurate ar pographical or gran		dence, are well-written, comm	unicate a coherent, logical
Performance Sta	andard					
renormance St	andaru					
					he Hearing Representative app and grammatically correct writt	
					r analysis of the facts or law) e se history which is relevant to the	evidence of applicable development he issue under determination.
easily-understoo		plains the conside				ovides a complete, correct, and analysis of the case evidence and
					ummarize in a clear and under t support the Conclusions of La	rstandable manner the totality of the aw.
(4) The Conclus evidence, clearly supporting the c	y explain the ration	Medge the receipt ale behind the acc	of a waiver, objection eptance or denial o	ons, or the lack of obje f the claim, address w	ections, thoroughly and accura hat benefits are being awarde	ately summarize the pertinent case d or denied, and include citations
				legal, regulatory, and dence or argument.	or policy reason(s) regarding	why the recommended decision of
(6) Administrativ	ve closure memos a	ind/or letters clear	ly identify the reaso	n(s) for the closure.		
			al correspondence pographical errors		nowledgment letters, and other	r correspondence communicate
(8) EN-20 forms	s are correctly comp	leted and reference	ce valid and approp	riate payment amount	ts, with two copies provided.	
	MEASUREMENT: campling, EN-20 for	ns, OIS data/repo	rts, ECS data/repor	ts, and/or materials m	naintained by management and	d the Branch Chief.
Narrative	9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		43 700 200			
5/						
Result #2 Title	ISSUE TIMELY	FINAL DECISION				Weight Value 20%
Result #2	☐ Outst	anding Excee	ds Fully Successful	Fully Successfu	I Minimally Successful	☐ Unacceptable ☐ Not Rated
The Hearing Re	epresentative issue	timely final deterr	minations.			
Performance St	tandard					
				decisions are issued virterion (7) is as descr		r criterion (1) and criteria (3) through
(1) For claims v	with waivers, final d	ecisions or remand	orders are issued	within 30 calendar da	ys from receipt of the waiver in	ı FAB;
(2) For claims v	with waivers, final d	ecisions or remand	orders are issued	no later than 65 days	from issuance of the recomme	ended decision;
(3) For non-con	ntested claims, final	decisions or rema	nd orders are issue	d within 75 calendar	days of the issuance of the rec	ommended decision;

(4) For claims requiring a Review of the Written Record, final decisions or remand orders are issued within 75 calendar days of receipt of objections;
(5) For claims requesting reconsideration, a response to the request for reconsideration is issued within 45 calendar days of assignment;
(6) An initial review of claims (e.g. reviews the file when it is assigned to them and identifies any issues that can be handled with a remand or further minimal development early in the FAB review stage of the adjudication) is completed within 15 days of the FAB receipt of the recommended decision; and
(7) To be fully successful, final decisions or remand orders are issued within 300 days of the recommended decision with no more than 2 cases going over 300 calendar days during the rating period.
METHOD OF MEASUREMENT: Random case sampling, ECS reports/data, BAS reports/data, OIS reports/data, and/or materials maintained by management and the Branch Chief.
Narrative
Result #3 Title DATA INTEGRITY Weight Value 20%
Result #3 Outstanding Exceeds Fully Successful Fully Successful Minimally Successful Unacceptable Not Rated
The Hearing Representative accurately inputs data into DEEOIC information systems.
y and allowed believe the state of the state
Performance Standard
Performance is fully successful when all criteria are met and, in 90% - 93% of sampled cases, data is recorded correctly and completely in each category in accordance with existing policies and procedures.
 (1) The FAB Hearing Representative routinely and independently performs accurate data input: a) Payment, final decision, and remand data are correctly coded in ECS on the date of issuance of the decision and accurately reflect the decision outcome (e.g., primary decision, secondary decision, medical condition status, ICD codes, effective dates); b) Reconsideration/Post Adjudication record is correctly updated within 5 work days of completed actions; c) Hearing and RWR appeal screen fields are correctly updated within 3 work days of completed actions (e.g., FD linked, correct RD linked, status fields, correct objection type); d) Employee census information (e.g., name, address, DOB, DOD) is correct on date of issuance of decision; e) ECS data entry is accurately recorded for file location (as file is moved), medical conditions, status, and FAB notes; f) Input on the correspondence screen accurately reflects outgoing and incoming correspondence relating to FAB development or other information collection within 3 work days of the action taken; g) Administrative closures are accurately recorded in ECS and OIS; 2) Within 2 work days of document creation, appropriate action is taken to bronze (create electronic image) and correctly index documents into OIS; and 3) Within 3 work days of receipt, incoming mail or other case file material is screened, indexed appropriately, reviewed for relevancy, and appropriate action is aken and documented within 4-6 calendar days of receipt of the same.
METHOD OF MEASUREMENT: Random case sampling, ECS reports/data, BAS reports/data, OIS data/reports, and/or materials maintained by management and the Branch Chief.
Varrative
Result #4 Title CUSTOMER SERVICE Weight Value 20%
Result #4 Outstanding Exceeds Fully Successful Minimally Successful Unacceptable Not Rated
_ ,
he Hearing Representative is courteous, professional, and knowledgeable with regard to interactions with internal or external program contacts, while utilizing electronic communications tools appropriately and documenting interactions.

Performance Standard Performance is fully successful when all criteria are met, in accordance with policies, procedures, and regulations, and criterion (1) is met 93% - 94% of the time and criterion (2) is met 95% - 96% of the time. Criteria (3) and (4) are as described. (1) ECS telephone messaging system is utilized correctly to memorialize telephone call information, including substantive written description of verbal interaction with callers, and to document call returns (or an attempt to return a call) within 1 work day of receipt. (2) ECS telephone messaging system is utilized correctly to memorialize telephone call information, including substantive written description of verbal interaction with callers, and to document call returns (or an attempt to return a call) within 2 work days of receipt. (3) To be fully successful, the Hearing Representative contributes to a professional, productive, customer-oriented work environment, and makes contributions to the improvement of the team or office morale, with no more than 2 substantiated complaints received during the rating period. (4) To be fully successful, all personally identifiable information (PII) is correct throughout all correspondence, with no more than 2 PII violations occurring within the rating period. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT: Random case sampling, ECS data/reports, reported PII incidents, OIS data/reports, supervisory observation, valid complaints/compliments received, and/or materials maintained by management and the Branch Chief. Narrative Result #5 Title **HEARINGS** Weight Value 20% Result #5 The Hearing Representative schedules, prepares for, and conducts hearings in accordance with DEEOIC procedural guidance, and issues hearing-related final decisions or remand orders in a timely manner. Performance Standard Performance is fully successful when all criteria are met 90% - 93% of the time. (1) Upon receipt of the hearing request, the Hearing Representative reviews the claim within 15 days and identifies any issues that can be handled with a remand or via minimal development by the Hearing Representative. (2) If the evidence is sufficient to support a remand order or reversal prior to the scheduling of the hearing, the remand order or reversal is reviewed by management and issued within 30 days of the receipt of the request for a hearing. Remand orders issued either before or after a hearing is convened explain in a concise manner the specific evidentiary, legal, regulatory, and/or policy reason(s) regarding why the recommended decision of the District Office is not being finalized, including a discussion of any new evidence or argument presented. (3) The Hearing Representative schedules a hearing with the claimant and/or authorized representative, with appropriate notification sent to the hearing schedulers, within 15 calendar days of the receipt of the hearing request. (4) The hearing is scheduled to be held within 65 calendar days of receipt of the request for a hearing. (5) Prior to conducting a hearing, the Hearing Representative performs a thorough review of the evidence, prepares a hearing script/opening statement to be read into the record which describes the history of the claim, issues, and objections, and contacts the claimant(s) and/or authorized representative by telephone as a reminder. (6) When the hearing is convened, the Hearing Representative administers oaths, guides the course of the proceedings, marks and enters evidence as exhibits, allows the claimant, representative and/or witnesses to present argument/testimony, questions witnesses, conducts the hearing as instructed in FAB training sessions, materials, and procedure manual, and provides attendees with upcoming timelines for review of the transcript and submission of evidence. (7) Reversals issued prior to or after a hearing and final decisions following a hearing include a clear and concise Statement of the Case, an Objections section that accurately summarizes the objections and provides a complete, correct, and easily-understood response (explaining the consideration given to all objections presented based on an appropriate analysis of the case evidence and application of program policy and procedure), Findings of Fact that summarize the salient facts of the case, and Conclusions of Law that thoroughly and accurately summarize the pertinent case evidence, clearly explain the rationale behind

- (8) Final decisions or remand orders are issued within 85 calendar days of the scheduled/convened date of the hearing.
- (9) Administrative closure memos and/or letters clearly identify the reason(s) for the closure and an explanation of the effect on any hearing request.

the acceptance or denial of the claim, address what benefits are being awarded or denied, and include citations supporting the conclusions.

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT:

Random case sampling, ECS reports/data, BAS reports/data, OIS data/reports, supervisory observation, and/or materials maintained by management and the

Branch Chief.	- Annual posts					-		
Narrative								- 2
Humanyo								
L								
Result #6 Title		41					Weight Val	II.E
Result #6	Outstanding	☐ Exceeds Fully Succ	cessful Fully	Successful	Minimally Success	ful 🔲 L	Jnacceptable	
						17		
		S X 1						
Performance Standard		25	-					
- A-	23							
1		0 10						
Narrative								
			(12)		den i	-		
De MAIS TIME							, _	2000
Result #7 Title Result #7	Outstanding	Exceeds Fully Succ	coeful 🗆 Fully	Cussossful 🗆	Minimally Successi	ا 🗀 ا	Weight Valu	
i todat ii .			essiui 🔲 i uiiy	Succession [Will lift ally Successi		Inacceptable	☐ Not Rated
1								
<u> </u>								
Performance Standard	Miles III.							
renormance otanicara		1 1						
ñ -								
Narrative			The second secon	MARK TO THE TAX TO THE				
Inditative								
7								
2			7					
						v <u> </u>		
Result #8 Title							Weight Valu	
Result #8	Outstanding	Exceeds Fully Succ	esful Fully	Successful	Minimally Successf	iul 🔲 U	nacceptable	☐ Not Rated
			50000110		5.	9.	- 7	
Performance Standard			i.			- 19		

Narrative			